## **New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 51-04-01-04-0001 Grade Range: 7-12

Name: Clifton-Fine Junior-Senior High School

Principal: Lucille Martir

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	29	29	30
Eighth	30	30	27
Ninth	40	39	41
Tenth	24	32	34
Eleventh	24	20	35
Twelfth	37	32	23
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	4
Total K-12 Enrollment	184	182	194

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	1	0.5%	1	0.5%	1	0.5%
Black (Not Hispanic)	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	2	1.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	183	99.5%	180	98.9%	191	98.5%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	12	13
Science Grade 8	15	16	13
Social Studies Grade 8	15	16	13
English Grade 10	14	13	13
Mathematics Grade 10	14	8	13
Science Grade 10	13	17	14
Social Studies Grade 10	14	14	16

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
48	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district
40	resources. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Limited English Proficient</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	65	35.3%	78	42.9%	78	40.2%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of % of		No. of % of		No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		92.7%		94.5%		95.0%
Student Suspensions	11	5.1%	13	7.1%	19	10.4%

# **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

#### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	22.8%	15.9%	12.9%
Public Assistance	31-40%	31-40%	51-60%
Student Stability	86%	100%	100%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	20
Total Other Professional Staff	0
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	1

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

**High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*** 

	2001–02				2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	36	17	47%	27	15	56%	21	11	52%	
Students with Disabilities	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
All Students	37	17	46%	27	15	56%	21	11	52%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	7	8	0	2	4	0
Percent	33%	38%	0%	10%	19%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003-04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
0	0	2	2

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	-	2001–02		2002–03		200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	1		1		1	0.9%
Education	Entered GED Program*	1		1		1	0.9%
Students	Total Noncompleters	2		2		2	1.8%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		1		1	5.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		1		1	5.0%
All	Dropped Out	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%
Students	Entered GED Program*	2	1.6%	2	1.6%	2	1.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters	3	2.4%	3	2.4%	3	2.3%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	41	45	47
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	18	5	6
0-8	Number of All Students	59	50	53
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	85%	91%
	Number of General-Education Students	115	110	113
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	10	10	10
9-12	Number of All Students	125	120	123
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	98%	91%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Dugguer	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

## **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	8	50%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	17	35%	7	71%	9	56%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	1	#	0	0%	0	0%

## **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

 $\overline{(Form - E)}$ 

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Eng				
Number Tested	24	25	30	0	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	22	22	29	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	19	18	26	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	3	4	7	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	88%	97%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	72%	87%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	16%	23%	0%	#	#
	M	athematics A				•
Number Tested	16	19	29	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	13	18	29	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	11	16	29	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	2	16	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	95%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	84%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	11%	55%	0%	0%	0%
	M	athematics B		_		
Number Tested	0	0	4	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy			
Number Tested	23	30	39	2	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	21	27	34	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	18	20	28	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	1	4	6	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	90%	87%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	67%	72%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	4%	13%	15%	#	#	#
	U.S. Histo	ory and Gover	rnment			
Number Tested	29	25	25	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	28	24	24	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	25	22	19	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	5	6	4	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	96%	96%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	88%	76%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	24%	16%	0%	0%	#

(Form - F)

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04			
Living Environment									
Number Tested	32	32	39	2	3	6			
Number Scoring 55–100	31	29	36	#	#	5			
Number Scoring 65–100	26	25	26	#	#	2			
Number Scoring 85–100	4	1	3	#	#	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	91%	92%	#	#	83%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	78%	67%	#	#	33%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	3%	8%	#	#	0%			
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science						
Number Tested	18	19	33	0	0	1			
Number Scoring 55–100	18	18	31	0	0	#			
Number Scoring 65–100	18	17	25	0	0	#			
Number Scoring 85–100	5	5	1	0	0	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	95%	94%	0%	0%	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	89%	76%	0%	0%	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	26%	3%	0%	0%	#			
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry						
Number Tested	7	7	9	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	7	7	9	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	4	3	6	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	1	1	1	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	57%	43%	67%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	14%	14%	11%	0%	0%	0%			
	Physica	d Setting/Phy	vsics						
Number Tested			4			0			
Number Scoring 55–100			#			0			
Number Scoring 65–100			#			0			
Number Scoring 85–100			#			0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			#			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			#			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			#			0%			

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents				4 141 751	1 111/1
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
N. 1. W. 1		rehensive Fre		0	0	
Number Tested	2	3	3	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	0%	0%	0%
N. 1. W. 1		rehensive Ital		0	0	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger			1	T
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb			1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	17	8	11	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	15	7	9	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	13	6	5	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	3	1	2	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	88%	82%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	75%	45%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	12%	18%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities				
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04		
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	23	7	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	23	7	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	20	7	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	12	4	0	0	0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	52%	57%	0%	0%	0%	0%		

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	2001	1–02	2002	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	12	100%	7	100%	6	100%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4	
Nov 2003	General-Education Students	26	0%	4%	88%	8%	
	Students with Disabilities	7	0%	0%	100%	0%	
	All Students	33	0%	3%	91%	6%	

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	24	#	#	#	#
	Students with Disabilities	1	#	#	#	#
	All Students	25	4%	64%	32%	0%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies         0         0         0         0         0										
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	20	20	20	2	2	2	22	22	22
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	3	0	2
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	15	13	11
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	1	5	7
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0

(Form - J)