

The University of the State of New York  
**The State Education Department**



**OVERVIEW OF DISTRICT PERFORMANCE IN  
ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS, MATHEMATICS, AND SCIENCE  
AND  
ANALYSIS OF STUDENT SUBGROUP PERFORMANCE  
for**

**Middletown City School District**

**April 2006**

# THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

## Regents of The University

ROBERT M. BENNETT, <i>Chancellor</i> , B.A., M.S. ....	Tonawanda
ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, <i>Vice Chancellor</i> , B.A., M.A., P.D. ....	Hollis
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D. ....	New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D. ....	Peru
ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D. ....	North Syracuse
MERRYL H. TISCH, B.A., M.A., Ed. D. ....	New York
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D. ....	Belle Harbor
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B. ....	Buffalo
HARRY PHILLIPS, 3rd, B.A., M.S.F.S. ....	Hartsdale
JOSEPH E. BOWMAN, JR., B.A., M.L.S., M.A., M.Ed., Ed.D. ....	Albany
LORRAINE A. CORTÉS-VÁZQUEZ, B.A., M.P.A. ....	Bronx
JAMES R. TALLON, JR., B.A., M.A. ....	Binghamton
MILTON L. COFIELD, B.S., M.B.A., Ph.D. ....	Rochester
JOHN BRADEMAS, B.A., Ph.D. ....	New York
CAROL BELLAMY, A.B., J.D. ....	Brooklyn
ROGER B. TILLES, B.A., J.D. ....	Great Neck

### President of The University and Commissioner of Education

RICHARD P. MILLS

### Interim Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education

JEAN STEVENS

### Assistant Commissioner for Standards, Assessment and Reporting

DAVID M. ABRAMS

### Coordinator, Information and Reporting Services

MARTHA P. MUSSER

---

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including braille, large print or audio tape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 530, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234. **Requests for additional copies of this publication may be made by contacting the Publications Sales Desk, Room 309, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234.**

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

**School Report Card Coordinator  
Information and Reporting Services Team  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
89 Washington Avenue  
Albany, NY 12234  
E-mail: [RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov)**

The *New York State District Report Card* is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on student performance and other measures of district performance. Knowledge gained from the district report card on a district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

The *New York State District Report Card* consists of three parts: the *Overview of District Performance in English Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science and Analysis of Student Subgroup Performance*, the *Comprehensive Information Report*, and the *Accountability Status Report*. The *Overview and Analysis* presents performance data on measures required by the federal No Child Left Behind Act: English, mathematics, science, and graduation rate. Performance data on other State assessments can be found in the *Comprehensive Information Report*. The *Accountability Status Report* provides information as to whether a district is making adequate progress toward enabling all students to achieve proficiency in English and mathematics.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the foundation knowledge they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

In the *Overview*, performance on the elementary- and middle-level assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of students scoring at each of the four levels. These levels indicate performance on the standards from seriously deficient to advanced proficiency. Regents examination scores are reported in four score ranges. Scores of 65 to 100 are passing; scores of 55 to 64 earn credit toward a local diploma (with the approval of the local board of education). Though each elementary- and middle-level assessment is administered to students in a specific grade, secondary-level assessments are taken by students when they complete the coursework for the core curriculum. Therefore, the performance of students at the secondary level is measured for a student cohort rather than a group of students at a particular grade level. Students are grouped in cohorts according to the year in which they first entered grade 9.

The assessment data in the *Overview and Analysis* are for all tested students in the district, including general-education students and students with disabilities. In the *Overview*, each district's performance is compared with that of all public schools statewide. In the *Analysis*, performance is disaggregated by race/ethnicity, disability status, gender, limited English proficient status, income level, and migrant status.

Explanations of terms referred to or symbols used in this part of the district report card may be found in the glossary on the last page. Further information on the district report card may be found in the guide, *Understanding Your School Report Card: April 2006*, available on the Information and Reporting Services Web site at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

# Overview of District Performance in English Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science

## District Profile

Superintendent: Kenneth Eastwood		Phone: (845)341-5205
<b>Organization</b> <b>2004–05</b>	Grade Range	Student Enrollment
	NA	6621

<b>2003–04 District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil</b>	\$14,220
<b>2003–04 NYS Public Schools Total Expenditure per Pupil</b>	\$13,826

### 2004–05 Core Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers\*

Total Number of Core Classes	Percent Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
1,249	97%


\*To meet the federal definition of "highly qualified," public school teachers of core academic subjects must have at least a bachelor's degree and be State certified for and demonstrate subject matter competency in the core academic subject(s) they teach.

### 2004–05 Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate\*

Total Number of Teachers	Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate
464	1%

\*Includes teachers with a modified temporary license.

## Elementary Level English Language Arts



Percentages less than 0.51 will appear as zero because of rounding.

Performance at This District	Counts of Students					Mean Score
	Level 1 455–602	Level 2 603–644	Level 3 645–691	Level 4 692–800	Total Tested	
Feb 2003	56	171	167	74	468	647
Feb 2004	38	181	183	35	437	645
Feb 2005	43	143	222	57	465	651

Elementary-Level English Language Arts Levels — Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards	
<b>Level 4</b>	These students <b>exceed the standards</b> and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.
<b>Level 3</b>	These students <b>meet the standards</b> and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.
<b>Level 2</b>	These students <b>need extra help</b> to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.
<b>Level 1</b>	These students have <b>serious academic deficiencies</b> .


### Performance of Limited English Proficient Students Taking the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) as the Measure of English Language Arts Achievement

Grade 4	Level 1	Level 2	Levels 3 & 4	Total Tested
2005	8	9	30	47

### Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in English

Elementary Level	AA–Level 1	AA–Level 2	AA–Level 3	AA–Level 4	Total Tested
2004–05	0	0	0	0	0

## Elementary Level Mathematics



Percentages less than 0.51 will appear as zero because of rounding.


Performance at This District	Counts of Students					Mean Score
	Level 1 448–601	Level 2 602–636	Level 3 637–677	Level 4 678–810	Total Tested	
May 2003	32	152	223	87	494	647
May 2004	20	125	281	79	505	650
May 2005	25	100	257	119	501	657

Elementary-Level Mathematics Levels — Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards	
<b>Level 4</b>	These students <b>exceed the standards</b> and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.
<b>Level 3</b>	These students <b>meet the standards</b> and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.
<b>Level 2</b>	These students <b>need extra help</b> to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.
<b>Level 1</b>	These students have <b>serious academic deficiencies</b> .

### Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Mathematics

Elementary Level	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4	Total Tested
2004-05	0	0	0	0	0

## Elementary Level Science\*



Percentages less than 0.51 will appear as zero because of rounding.

Performance at This District	Counts of Students					Mean Score
	Level 1 0-44	Level 2 45-64	Level 3 65-84	Level 4 85-100	Total Tested	
May 2004	13	94	282	118	507	74
May 2005	18	108	239	133	498	74


Elementary-Level Science Levels — Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards	
<b>Level 4</b>	These students <b>exceed the standards</b> and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.
<b>Level 3</b>	These students <b>meet the standards</b> and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.
<b>Level 2</b>	These students <b>need extra help</b> to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.
<b>Level 1</b>	These students have <b>serious academic deficiencies</b> .

### Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Science

Elementary Level	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4	Total Tested
<b>2004-05</b>	0	0	0	0	0

\*Only two years of data are shown because a new assessment in elementary-level science was administered for the first time in 2003-04.

## Middle Level English Language Arts



Performance at This District	Counts of Students					Total Tested	Mean Score
	Level 1 527-657	Level 2 658-696	Level 3 697-736	Level 4 737-830			
January 2003	55	291	167	25		538	688
January 2004	50	223	179	50		502	696
January 2005	43	254	191	30		518	693

Middle-Level English Language Arts Levels — Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards	
<b>Level 4</b>	These students <b>exceed the standards</b> and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.
<b>Level 3</b>	These students <b>meet the standards</b> and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.
<b>Level 2</b>	These students <b>need extra help</b> to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.
<b>Level 1</b>	These students have <b>serious academic deficiencies</b> .

### Performance of Limited English Proficient Students Taking the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) as the Measure of English Language Arts Achievement


Grade 8	Level 1	Level 2	Levels 3 & 4	Total Tested
2005	5	3	12	20

### Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in English

Middle Level	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4	Total Tested
2004-05	0	0	0	7	7



## Middle Level Mathematics




Performance at This District	Counts of Students					Mean Score
	Level 1 517-680	Level 2 681-715	Level 3 716-759	Level 4 760-882	Total Tested	
May 2003	131	246	150	17	544	698
May 2004	106	213	192	28	539	704
May 2005	98	245	177	15	535	705

Middle-Level Mathematics Levels — Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards	
<b>Level 4</b>	These students <b>exceed the standards</b> and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.
<b>Level 3</b>	These students <b>meet the standards</b> and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.
<b>Level 2</b>	These students <b>need extra help</b> to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.
<b>Level 1</b>	These students have <b>serious academic deficiencies</b> .

### Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Mathematics

Middle Level	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4	Total Tested
<b>2004-05</b>	0	0	3	4	7

## Middle Level Science



Performance at This District		Counts of Students Tested					Mean Score
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	
January/	Middle-Level Science	9	147	289	82	527	71
June 2003	Regents Science	0	0	0	0	0	0
January/	Middle-Level Science	30	140	255	84	509	70
June 2004	Regents Science	0	0	0	0	0	0
January/	Middle-Level Science	30	125	253	103	511	71
June 2005	Regents Science	0	0	0	0	0	0

Middle-Level Science Levels — Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards*	
<b>Level 4</b>	These students <b>exceed the standards</b> on the middle-level science test and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examinations <u>or</u> score 85–100 on a Regents science examination.
<b>Level 3</b>	These students <b>meet the standards</b> on the middle-level science test and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examinations <u>or</u> score 65–84 on a Regents science examination.
<b>Level 2</b>	These students <b>need extra help</b> to meet the standards for middle-level science and to pass the Regents examinations <u>or</u> score 55–64 on a Regents science examination.
<b>Level 1</b>	These students have <b>serious academic deficiencies</b> as evidenced in the middle-level science test <u>or</u> score 0–54 on a Regents science examination.


\*Students may demonstrate proficiency in middle-level science by scoring at Level 3 or above on the middle-level science test or by scoring 65 or above on a Regents examination in science.

### Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Science

Middle Level	AA–Level 1	AA–Level 2	AA–Level 3	AA–Level 4	Total Tested
2004–05	0	2	1	3	6

# High School English Achievement after Four Years of Instruction

The graphs and tables below present performance of the 1999, 2000, and 2001 district accountability cohort members, four years after entering grade 9, in meeting the graduation assessment requirement in English. In the graph, students passing approved alternatives to this examination are counted as scoring in the 65 to 84 range. RCT results are not included in the graph. The data in these tables and charts show the performance of the cohorts as of June 30<sup>th</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.



English Graduation Requirement Achievement after Four Years of High School*						
	Cohort Members All Students	Highest Score Between 0 and 54	Highest Score Between 55 and 64	Highest Score Between 65 and 84	Highest Score Between 85 and 100	Approved Alternative Credit
<b>1999 Cohort</b>	336	32	39	129	85	0
<b>2000 Cohort</b>	363	32	25	126	78	0
<b>2001 Cohort</b>	351	18	34	130	85	0


\*Assessments used to determine counts in this table include the Regents examination in comprehensive English, the component retest in English, and approved alternatives.

Performance of Students Who Took the Regents Competency Tests in Reading and Writing to Meet the Graduation Requirement*		
	Passed the RCTs	Failed RCT in Reading and/or Writing
<b>1999 Cohort</b>	13	8
<b>2000 Cohort</b>	14	9
<b>2001 Cohort</b>	15	1

\*Includes only students eligible for the safety net who did not score 55 or higher on the Regents examination or an approved alternative.

# High School Mathematics Achievement after Four Years of Instruction

The graphs and tables below present performance of the 1999, 2000, and 2001 district accountability cohort members, four years after entering grade 9, in meeting the graduation assessment requirement in mathematics. In the graph, students passing approved alternatives to these examinations are counted as scoring in the 65 to 84 range. RCT results are not included in the graph. The data in these tables and charts show the performance of the cohorts as of June 30<sup>th</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.



Percentages less than 0.51 will appear as zero because of rounding.

Mathematics Graduation Requirement Achievement after Four Years of High School*						
	Cohort Members All Students	Highest Score Between 0 and 54	Highest Score Between 55 and 64	Highest Score Between 65 and 84	Highest Score Between 85 and 100	Approved Alternative Credit
<b>1999 Cohort</b>	336	52	36	108	70	0
<b>2000 Cohort</b>	363	81	51	129	43	0
<b>2001 Cohort</b>	351	21	24	184	47	0


\*Assessments used to determine counts in this table include a Regents examination in mathematics, the component retest in mathematics and approved alternatives.

Performance of Students Who Took the Regents Competency Test in Mathematics to Meet the Graduation Requirement*		
	Passed the RCT	Failed at Least One RCT
<b>1999 Cohort</b>	26	0
<b>2000 Cohort</b>	8	3
<b>2001 Cohort</b>	22	3

\*Includes only students eligible for the safety net who did not score 55 or higher on the Regents examination or an approved alternative.

## Cohort Graduation Rates

Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local diploma with or without a Regents endorsement by August 31<sup>st</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9. The graduation-rate cohort includes students who transferred to general education development (GED) programs. These students were not counted in the 1998, 1999, and 2000 district accountability cohorts for English and mathematics.



Percentages less than 0.51 will appear as zero because of rounding.

Cohort Graduation Rates				
	Cohort Members* (a)	Transfers to GED (b)	Graduation Rate Cohort Members (a+b)	Number Graduated
1998 Cohort	321	25	346	254
1999 Cohort	330	37	367	245
2000 Cohort	356	20	376	264

\*Count as of August 31<sup>st</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

## Analysis of Student Subgroup Performance

Historically, on State assessments the average performance of Black, Hispanic, and Native American students has been lower than that of White and Asian students. Similarly, students from low-income families have not performed as well as those from higher income families. A high priority of the Board of Regents is to eliminate these gaps in student performance. In addition, Title I of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act includes explicit requirements “to ensure that students served by Title I are given the same opportunity to achieve to high standards and are held to the same high expectations as all students in each State.”

This section of the district report card provides performance data for two years by racial/ethnic group, disability status, gender, English proficiency status, income level, and migrant status. The purpose of the student subgroup analyses is to determine if students who perform below the standards in any district tend to fall into particular groups, such as minority students, limited English proficient students, or economically disadvantaged students. If these analyses provide evidence that students in one of the groups achieve at a lower level than other students, the district should examine the reasons for this lower performance and make necessary changes in curriculum, instruction, and student support services to remedy these performance gaps. If your district did not report data for the 2004–05 school year for a subject and grade, a table showing data for subgroups in that subject and grade will not be included in the *Analysis*.

## Elementary Level English Language Arts

Student Subgroup	2003-04				2004-05			
	Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels			Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>Results by Race/Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	s	s	s	3	s	s	s
Black	117	90%	38%	1%	133	90%	56%	8%
Hispanic	143	93%	45%	4%	131	89%	53%	11%
Asian or Pacific Islander	10	s	s	s	11	s	s	s
White	166	90%	60%	14%	187	93%	68%	16%
Total	437	91%	50%	8%	465	91%	60%	12%
Small Group Totals (s)	11	100%	82%	45%	14	93%	64%	21%
<b>Results by Disability Status</b>								
General-education students	381	97%	55%	9%	400	97%	67%	14%
Students with disabilities	56	52%	14%	0%	65	52%	15%	0%
Total	437	91%	50%	8%	465	91%	60%	12%
<b>Results by Gender</b>								
Female	220	93%	52%	8%	225	93%	64%	15%
Male	217	90%	48%	8%	240	88%	56%	10%
Total	437	91%	50%	8%	465	91%	60%	12%
<b>Results by English Proficiency Status</b>								
English proficient	433	s	s	s	447	91%	62%	13%
Limited English proficient	4	s	s	s	18	78%	17%	0%
Total	437	91%	50%	8%	465	91%	60%	12%
<b>Results by Income Level</b>								
Economically disadvantaged	1	s	s	s	225	89%	49%	7%
Not disadvantaged	436	s	s	s	240	92%	70%	17%
Total	437	91%	50%	8%	465	91%	60%	12%
<b>Results by Migrant Status</b>								
Migrant family	0	0%	0%	0%	3	s	s	s
Not migrant family	437	91%	50%	8%	462	s	s	s
Total	437	91%	50%	8%	465	91%	60%	12%

## Elementary Level Mathematics

Student Subgroup	2003-04				2004-05			
	Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels			Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>Results by Race/Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	s	s	s	3	s	s	s
Black	120	98%	70%	10%	132	95%	71%	14%
Hispanic	200	95%	65%	9%	173	92%	68%	22%
Asian or Pacific Islander	11	s	s	s	11	s	s	s
White	173	96%	77%	24%	182	97%	85%	31%
Total	505	96%	71%	16%	501	95%	75%	24%
Small Group Totals (s)	12	100%	100%	58%	14	100%	79%	36%
<b>Results by Disability Status</b>								
General-education students	444	98%	75%	17%	439	98%	81%	26%
Students with disabilities	61	79%	43%	7%	62	71%	32%	5%
Total	505	96%	71%	16%	501	95%	75%	24%
<b>Results by Gender</b>								
Female	250	96%	71%	14%	235	95%	76%	24%
Male	255	96%	72%	18%	266	95%	74%	23%
Total	505	96%	71%	16%	501	95%	75%	24%
<b>Results by English Proficiency Status</b>								
English proficient	442	97%	75%	17%	436	96%	79%	26%
Limited English proficient	63	92%	46%	5%	65	89%	51%	8%
Total	505	96%	71%	16%	501	95%	75%	24%
<b>Results by Income Level</b>								
Economically disadvantaged	1	s	s	s	253	95%	73%	19%
Not disadvantaged	504	s	s	s	248	95%	77%	29%
Total	505	96%	71%	16%	501	95%	75%	24%
<b>Results by Migrant Status</b>								
Migrant family	10	90%	60%	0%	8	100%	88%	38%
Not migrant family	495	96%	72%	16%	493	95%	75%	24%
Total	505	96%	71%	16%	501	95%	75%	24%



## Elementary Level Science

Student Subgroup	2003-04				2004-05			
	Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels			Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>Results by Race/Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	s	s	s	3	s	s	s
Black	120	100%	76%	16%	132	98%	73%	19%
Hispanic	202	95%	74%	15%	172	93%	65%	20%
Asian or Pacific Islander	11	s	s	s	11	s	s	s
White	173	99%	86%	36%	180	99%	86%	38%
Total	507	97%	79%	23%	498	96%	75%	27%
Small Group Totals (s)	12	100%	92%	42%	14	93%	71%	29%
<b>Results by Disability Status</b>								
General-education students	446	98%	81%	25%	437	98%	78%	29%
Students with disabilities	61	97%	61%	13%	61	87%	48%	8%
Total	507	97%	79%	23%	498	96%	75%	27%
<b>Results by Gender</b>								
Female	251	98%	78%	24%	234	96%	75%	26%
Male	256	97%	80%	23%	264	97%	75%	27%
Total	507	97%	79%	23%	498	96%	75%	27%
<b>Results by English Proficiency Status</b>								
English proficient	444	99%	83%	25%	434	98%	79%	30%
Limited English proficient	63	86%	48%	8%	64	86%	42%	6%
Total	507	97%	79%	23%	498	96%	75%	27%
<b>Results by Income Level</b>								
Economically disadvantaged	1	s	s	s	253	96%	68%	19%
Not disadvantaged	506	s	s	s	245	97%	82%	35%
Total	507	97%	79%	23%	498	96%	75%	27%
<b>Results by Migrant Status</b>								
Migrant family	10	90%	30%	10%	8	100%	50%	13%
Not migrant family	497	98%	80%	24%	490	96%	75%	27%
Total	507	97%	79%	23%	498	96%	75%	27%

**Middle Level**  
**English Language Arts**

Student Subgroup	2003-04				2004-05			
	Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels			Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>Results by Race/Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	s	s	s	0	0%	0%	0%
Black	134	87%	37%	4%	147	95%	35%	3%
Hispanic	156	92%	38%	5%	177	90%	34%	1%
Asian or Pacific Islander	9	s	s	s	7	100%	86%	14%
White	202	90%	56%	16%	187	90%	55%	12%
Total	502	90%	46%	10%	518	92%	43%	6%
Small Group Totals (s)	10	100%	70%	40%	0	0%	0%	0%
<b>Results by Disability Status</b>								
General-education students	429	98%	53%	12%	430	99%	50%	7%
Students with disabilities	73	41%	0%	0%	88	58%	6%	0%
Total	502	90%	46%	10%	518	92%	43%	6%
<b>Results by Gender</b>								
Female	219	95%	54%	15%	264	95%	48%	8%
Male	283	86%	39%	6%	254	88%	37%	4%
Total	502	90%	46%	10%	518	92%	43%	6%
<b>Results by English Proficiency Status</b>								
English proficient	499	s	s	s	497	92%	43%	6%
Limited English proficient	3	s	s	s	21	86%	24%	0%
Total	502	90%	46%	10%	518	92%	43%	6%
<b>Results by Income Level</b>								
Economically disadvantaged	5	100%	20%	0%	254	91%	32%	1%
Not disadvantaged	497	90%	46%	10%	264	92%	53%	10%
Total	502	90%	46%	10%	518	92%	43%	6%
<b>Results by Migrant Status</b>								
Migrant family	0	0%	0%	0%	4	s	s	s
Not migrant family	502	90%	46%	10%	514	s	s	s
Total	502	90%	46%	10%	518	92%	43%	6%

**Middle Level  
Mathematics**

Student Subgroup	2003-04				2004-05			
	Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels			Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>Results by Race/Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	s	s	s	0	0%	0%	0%
Black	140	75%	36%	1%	147	81%	26%	1%
Hispanic	189	77%	32%	1%	191	78%	27%	1%
Asian or Pacific Islander	11	s	s	s	9	100%	56%	11%
White	198	87%	50%	11%	188	85%	52%	6%
Total	539	80%	41%	5%	535	82%	36%	3%
Small Group Totals (s)	12	92%	83%	33%	0	0%	0%	0%
<b>Results by Disability Status</b>								
General-education students	467	88%	46%	6%	450	89%	42%	3%
Students with disabilities	72	32%	4%	0%	85	41%	6%	0%
Total	539	80%	41%	5%	535	82%	36%	3%
<b>Results by Gender</b>								
Female	236	83%	43%	6%	271	84%	38%	4%
Male	303	79%	39%	4%	264	80%	34%	1%
Total	539	80%	41%	5%	535	82%	36%	3%
<b>Results by English Proficiency Status</b>								
English proficient	501	83%	43%	5%	495	83%	38%	3%
Limited English proficient	38	45%	11%	3%	40	68%	15%	0%
Total	539	80%	41%	5%	535	82%	36%	3%
<b>Results by Income Level</b>								
Economically disadvantaged	6	83%	33%	0%	262	79%	25%	0%
Not disadvantaged	533	80%	41%	5%	273	84%	46%	5%
Total	539	80%	41%	5%	535	82%	36%	3%
<b>Results by Migrant Status</b>								
Migrant family	1	s	s	s	6	83%	33%	17%
Not migrant family	538	s	s	s	529	82%	36%	3%
Total	539	80%	41%	5%	535	82%	36%	3%

**Middle Level  
Science**

Student Subgroup	2003-04				2004-05			
	Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels			Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>Results by Race/Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	s	s	s	0	0%	0%	0%
Black	128	94%	55%	6%	142	94%	66%	13%
Hispanic	179	91%	58%	8%	182	93%	63%	11%
Asian or Pacific Islander	11	s	s	s	8	100%	75%	25%
White	190	97%	82%	29%	179	96%	79%	35%
Total	509	94%	67%	17%	511	94%	70%	20%
Small Group Totals (s)	12	100%	92%	42%	0	0%	0%	0%
<b>Results by Disability Status</b>								
General-education students	448	96%	71%	19%	433	97%	76%	24%
Students with disabilities	61	80%	33%	2%	78	76%	35%	1%
Total	509	94%	67%	17%	511	94%	70%	20%
<b>Results by Gender</b>								
Female	222	97%	65%	18%	262	97%	70%	20%
Male	287	92%	68%	16%	249	91%	69%	20%
Total	509	94%	67%	17%	511	94%	70%	20%
<b>Results by English Proficiency Status</b>								
English proficient	475	96%	70%	18%	473	95%	72%	21%
Limited English proficient	34	68%	15%	0%	38	84%	37%	5%
Total	509	94%	67%	17%	511	94%	70%	20%
<b>Results by Income Level</b>								
Economically disadvantaged	5	100%	40%	20%	254	94%	63%	12%
Not disadvantaged	504	94%	67%	16%	257	95%	77%	28%
Total	509	94%	67%	17%	511	94%	70%	20%
<b>Results by Migrant Status</b>								
Migrant family	1	s	s	s	6	83%	50%	17%
Not migrant family	508	s	s	s	505	94%	70%	20%
Total	509	94%	67%	17%	511	94%	70%	20%

## 2000 and 2001 High School Cohorts

General-education students who first entered ninth grade in 2000 or 2001 must score 55 or higher on Regents English and mathematics examinations to graduate. During the phase-in of the Regents examination graduation requirements, all students (with district board of education approval) may qualify for a local diploma by earning a score of 55–64 on the required Regents examinations; a score of 65 or higher is required for a Regents diploma. Students with disabilities and certain students with a Section 504 Accommodation Plan may qualify for a local diploma by passing Regents competency tests. The data in these tables show the performance of the cohorts as of June 30<sup>th</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

### Performance on the English Assessment Requirement for Graduation after Four Years of High School

Student Subgroup	2000 Cohort					2001 Cohort				
	Students in Cohort	Count of Students by Score			Percent Meeting Graduation Requirement	Students in Cohort	Count of Students by Score			Percent Meeting Graduation Requirement
		Regents		Pass-ed RCTs			Regents		Pass-ed RCTs	
		55–64	65–100				55–64	65–100		
<b>Results by Race/Ethnicity</b>										
American Indian/Alaskan Native	3	s	s	s	s	1	s	s	s	s
Black	78	8	32	9	63%	80	8	49	6	79%
Hispanic	99	10	45	1	57%	104	14	49	3	63%
Asian or Pacific Islander	8	s	s	s	s	9	s	s	s	s
White	175	6	121	4	75%	157	11	108	6	80%
Total	363	25	204	14	67%	351	34	215	15	75%
Small Group Totals (s)	11	1	6	0	64%	10	1	9	0	100%
<b>Results by Disability Status</b>										
General-education students	314	17	200	0	69%	326	32	215	8	78%
Students with disabilities	49	8	4	14	53%	25	2	0	7	36%
Total	363	25	204	14	67%	351	34	215	15	75%
<b>Results by Gender</b>										
Female	185	14	108	6	69%	190	20	119	5	76%
Male	178	11	96	8	65%	161	14	96	10	75%
Total	363	25	204	14	67%	351	34	215	15	75%
<b>Results by English Proficiency Status</b>										
English proficient	351	24	202	14	68%	327	31	204	15	76%
Limited English proficient	12	1	2	0	25%	24	3	11	0	58%
Total	363	25	204	14	67%	351	34	215	15	75%
<b>Results by Income Level</b>										
Economically disadvantaged	104	12	50	6	65%	124	18	73	6	78%
Not disadvantaged	259	13	154	8	68%	227	16	142	9	74%
Total	363	25	204	14	67%	351	34	215	15	75%
<b>Results by Migrant Status</b>										
Migrant family	7	0	1	0	14%	6	1	3	0	67%
Not migrant family	356	25	203	14	68%	345	33	212	15	75%
Total	363	25	204	14	67%	351	34	215	15	75%

**Performance on the Mathematics Assessment Requirement  
for Graduation after Four Years of High School**

Student Subgroup	2000 Cohort					2001 Cohort				
	Students in Cohort	Count of Students by Score			Percent Meeting Graduation Requirement	Students in Cohort	Count of Students by Score			Percent Meeting Graduation Requirement
		Regents		Passed RCTs			Regents		Passed RCTs	
		55-64	65-100				55-64	65-100		
<b>Results by Race/Ethnicity</b>										
American Indian/Alaskan Native	3	s	s	s	s	1	s	s	s	s
Black	78	11	22	4	47%	80	9	49	6	80%
Hispanic	99	18	37	1	57%	104	7	58	4	66%
Asian or Pacific Islander	8	s	s	s	s	9	s	s	s	s
White	175	20	106	3	74%	157	7	116	12	86%
Total	363	51	172	8	64%	351	24	231	22	79%
Small Group Totals (s)	11	2	7	0	82%	10	1	8	0	90%
<b>Results by Disability Status</b>										
General-education students	314	51	172	0	71%	326	21	230	11	80%
Students with disabilities	49	0	0	8	16%	25	3	1	11	60%
Total	363	51	172	8	64%	351	24	231	22	79%
<b>Results by Gender</b>										
Female	185	29	88	2	64%	190	14	127	10	79%
Male	178	22	84	6	63%	161	10	104	12	78%
Total	363	51	172	8	64%	351	24	231	22	79%
<b>Results by English Proficiency Status</b>										
English proficient	351	47	168	8	64%	327	22	219	21	80%
Limited English proficient	12	4	4	0	67%	24	2	12	1	63%
Total	363	51	172	8	64%	351	24	231	22	79%
<b>Results by Income Level</b>										
Economically disadvantaged	104	20	37	3	58%	124	11	80	8	80%
Not disadvantaged	259	31	135	5	66%	227	13	151	14	78%
Total	363	51	172	8	64%	351	24	231	22	79%
<b>Results by Migrant Status</b>										
Migrant family	7	2	2	0	57%	6	1	1	0	33%
Not migrant family	356	49	170	8	64%	345	23	230	22	80%
Total	363	51	172	8	64%	351	24	231	22	79%

## Cohort Graduation Rates

Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local diploma with or without a Regents endorsement by August 31<sup>st</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9. The graduation-rate cohort includes students who transferred to general education development (GED) programs. These students were not counted in the district accountability cohort for English and mathematics.

Student Subgroup	1999 Cohort as of August 31, 2003		2000 Cohort as of August 31, 2004	
	Graduation Rate Cohort	Graduation Rate	Graduation Rate Cohort	Graduation Rate
<b>Results by Race/Ethnicity</b>				
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0%	3	s
Black	82	60%	79	61%
Hispanic	79	53%	104	63%
Asian or Pacific Islander	6	100%	9	s
White	200	74%	181	78%
Total	367	67%	376	70%
Small Group Totals (s)	0	0%	12	75%
<b>Results by Disability Status</b>				
General-education students	330	71%	335	72%
Students with disabilities	37	27%	41	56%
Total	367	67%	376	70%
<b>Results by Gender</b>				
Female	170	72%	193	77%
Male	197	62%	183	63%
Total	367	67%	376	70%
<b>Results by English Proficiency Status</b>				
English proficient	360	68%	363	71%
Limited English proficient	7	29%	13	38%
Total	367	67%	376	70%
<b>Results by Income Level</b>				
Economically disadvantaged	88	80%	87	87%
Not disadvantaged	279	63%	289	65%
Total	367	67%	376	70%
<b>Results by Migrant Status</b>				
Migrant family	0	0%	8	25%
Not migrant family	367	67%	368	71%
Total	367	67%	376	70%

## Glossary

**Accountability Cohort:** An accountability cohort is all students, regardless of grade status, who were enrolled in school on BEDS day two years after the year in which they first entered grade 9, or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, the year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. (For example, the 2001 accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 in the fall of 2001 who were enrolled on October 8, 2003). Certain students are not included in the school accountability cohort. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

**Component Retests:** Component retests were offered in Regents English and Mathematics A to certain students who were at risk of not meeting the State learning standards. Component retesting is the process by which a student who has failed a Regents examination in English or Mathematics A twice is retested only on the areas of the learning standards in which the student has been proven deficient. Component retesting eliminates the need for the student to retake the full Regents examination multiple times. Students who earn credit through component retesting are counted as if they scored in the 55–64 range or in the 65–84 range on the Regents examination, as determined by the component retest results.

**Counts of Students Tested:** "Counts of Students Tested" includes only students who completed sufficient test questions to receive a score.

**Graduation-Rate Cohort:** Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a general education development (GED) program.

**Limited English Proficient (LEP) Students:** Schools provide special English instruction to students for whom English is a second language so they can participate effectively in the academic program. Beginning in 2003–04, students are considered LEP if, by reason of foreign birth or ancestry, they speak a language other than English and (1) either understand and speak little or no English or (2) score below a state-designated level of proficiency on the Language Assessment Battery-Revised (LAB-R) or the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT). The United States Department of Education has approved the use of the NYSESLAT as the required measure of language arts proficiency for LEP students in grades 4 and 8 who have attended school in the United States (not including Puerto Rico) for fewer than three consecutive years and for LEP students who have attended for four or five years and have received an exemption from the general assessment requirement.

**New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA):** The district Committee on Special Education designates students with severe cognitive disabilities who meet criteria established in Commissioner's Regulations to take the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA).

**Student Confidentiality/Suppressed Data (# and s):** To ensure student confidentiality, the Department does *not* publish data for groups with fewer than five students or data that would allow readers to easily determine the performance of a group with fewer than five students. In the *Overview*, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. In the *Analysis*, when fewer than five students in a group (e.g., Hispanic) were tested, percentages of tested students scoring at various levels are suppressed for that group and the next smallest group. Suppressed data are indicated with an (s). However, the performance of tested students in these groups is aggregated and shown in the Small Group Total row.

**Validity and Reliability of Small Group Data:** It is important that programmatic decisions are based on valid and reliable data. Data for fewer than 30 students in a group may be neither valid nor reliable. If a school does not have 30 students in a grade or a subgroup in a given year, the school should evaluate results for students in this group over a period of years to make programmatic decisions.