New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 22-14-01-04-0001 Grade Range: K-12

Name: La Fargeville Central School

Principal: W. Snyder & N. Hornbeck

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2000–2001	2001–2002	2002–2003
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	47	34	41
First	59	46	43
Second	36	63	45
Third	47	37	56
Fourth	30	43	39
Fifth	46	32	46
Sixth	48	47	35
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	51	50	49
Eighth	42	50	51
Ninth	32	38	47
Tenth	38	36	30
Eleventh	26	37	29
Twelfth	27	26	29
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	529	539	540

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

Statem Italian Dimine Oligin							
	2000-	2000–2001 2001–2002 2002–20		2001–2002		-2003	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Black (Not Hispanic)	5	0.9%	5	0.9%	7	1.3%	
Hispanic	3	0.6%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	
White (Not Hispanic)	521	98.5%	533	98.9%	532	98.5%	

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2000–2001	2001–2002	2002–2003
Kindergarten	24	17	14
Common Branch	21	19	20
English Grade 8	24	25	14
Mathematics Grade 8	22	14	26
Science Grade 8	22	25	25
Social Studies Grade 8	11	25	0
English Grade 10	18	15	0
Mathematics Grade 10	12	15	9
Science Grade 10	31	15	17
Social Studies Grade 10	16	14	17

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to
· ·	district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
64	All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarten through Grade 12. Assessment results and accountability measures for schools in this group are compared with similar schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

_	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	183	34.6%	149	27.6%	146	27.0%

Attendance and Suspension

ittendunce und Suspension							
	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
	No. of % of		No. of	No. of % of		% of	
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	
Annual Attendance Rate		96.1%		95.3%		96.5%	
Student Suspensions	7	1.4%	23	4.3%	14	2.6%	

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2000–2001	2001–2002	2002–2003
Reduced Lunch	11.3%	13.5%	17.2%
Public Assistance	41-50%	31-40%	31-40%
Student Stability	100%	96%	97%

Staff Counts

Staff	2002–2003
Total Teachers	40
Total Other Professional Staff	3
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	2
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	1

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2000–2001				2001–2002			2002–2003		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	25	13	52%	18	14	78%	23	16	70%	
Students with Disabilities	2	0	0%	4	0	0%	5	0	0%	
All Students	27	13	48%	22	14	64%	28	16	57%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures during the 2001–2002 school year, diploma counts and percentage of graduates earning Regents diplomas are not necessarily comparable between years. Data for the 2000-2001 school year include January, June and August 2001 graduates; data for the 2001-2002 school year include January and June 2002 graduates; data for the 2002–2003 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates.

Distribution of 2002–2003 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	9	17	0	1	1	0
Percent	32%	61%	0%	4%	4%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2002–2003

Graduates*	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2002–2003
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
5	0	0	5

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002	2002-2003	
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	Students	12111 011.	3	12111 011.	7	Em on.
Education	Entered GED Program*			0		1	
Students	Total Noncompleters			3		8	
Students	Dropped Out			0		1	
with	Entered GED Program*			0		0	
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters			0		1	
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	3	2.2%	8	5.9%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1	0.8%	3	2.2%	9	6.7%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03
K-1		0%	0%
2–3		0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4-12

Grades		2000-01	2001–02	2002-03
	Number of General-Education Students		0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities		0	0
4–3	Number of All Students		0	0
	Percent of Enrollment		0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students		0	92
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities		0	8
0–8	Number of All Students		0	100
	Percent of Enrollment		0%	74%
	Number of General-Education Students		137	109
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities		0	26
9-12	Number of All Students		137	135
	Percent of Enrollment		100%	100%

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	Vested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 0	% Passing		
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	2002 No. Tested 0 0 0 0 0 0	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form-D)

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 0	% Passing			
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	200: No. Tested 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	4	#	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	0	0%	2	#	

(Form - E)

	regentes	L'Aaiiiii				
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
	Compr	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	25	31	30	3	4	2
Number Scoring 55–100	25	31	30	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	25	31	29	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	11	13	15	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	97%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	44%	42%	50%	#	#	#
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	28	0	28	6	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	26	0	26	4	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	20	0	24	4	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	7	0	6	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	0%	93%	67%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	0%	86%	67%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	0%	21%	0%	0%	#
	nematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	tory and Geo	graphy			
Number Tested	36	26	28	6	1	3
Number Scoring 55–100	35	26	28	6	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	28	26	28	3	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	5	1	8	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	100%	100%	100%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	100%	100%	50%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	14%	4%	29%	0%	#	#
	and Governi	ment (first ad	ministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested	0	30	29	0	5	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	30	29	0	5	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	30	29	0	5	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	11	21	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	100%	0%	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	100%	100%	0%	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	37%	72%	0%	0%	#

 $\overline{(Form - F)}$

		All Students	3	Studer	Students with Disabilitie	
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
Living I	Environment	(first admini	stered June 2	001)		
Number Tested	32	38	46	0	4	4
Number Scoring 55–100	30	38	44	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	30	38	39	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	4	7	9	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	100%	96%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	100%	85%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	18%	20%	0%	#	#
Physical Sett	ing/Earth Sc	ience (first ad	lministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested	23	27	29	4	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	23	27	29	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	23	27	29	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	10	20	18	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	43%	74%	62%	#	#	#
Physical Se	tting/Chemis	try (first adn	ninistered Jui	ne 2002)		
Number Tested		11	17		0	0
Number Scoring 55–100		11	17		0	0
Number Scoring 65–100		9	15		0	0
Number Scoring 85–100		0	5		0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		100%	100%		0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		82%	88%		0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		0%	29%		0%	0%
Physical S	etting/Physic	s (first admir	nistered June	2002)*		
Number Tested						
Number Scoring 55–100						
Number Scoring 65–100						
Number Scoring 85–100						
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100						
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100						
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100						
* Physical Setting/Physics results are not inc	ludad in the r	enort card hec	auca tha Dana	rtmant is issue	ing a new con	wareion char

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results are not included in the report card because the Department is issuing a new conversion chart for this assessment. Data currently available to the Department are based on the original conversion chart for the assessment.

(Form - G)

	Regents			•		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
		rehensive Fre			T	
Number Tested	5	3	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	5	#	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	5	#	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	#	8	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	#	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	#	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	80%	#	62%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ital			T	,
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger			T	,
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb	1	T.	T	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa		T	I	1
Number Tested	7	10	5	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	7	10	5	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	7	10	5	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	4	4	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	40%	80%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive La		T	I	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities			
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003			
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course II (last administered January 2003)								
Number Tested	1	33	2	0	1	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	#	32	#	0	#	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	#	28	#	0	#	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	#	8	#	0	#	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	97%	#	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	85%	#	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	24%	#	0%	#	0%			
	Sequential M	athematics, (Course III						
Number Tested	15	19	19	0	1	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	15	18	19	0	#	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	15	17	19	0	#	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	11	14	12	0	#	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	95%	100%	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	89%	100%	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	73%	74%	63%	0%	#	0%			

(Form – I)

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	7	100%	0	0%	11	100%	
Students with Disabilities	1	#	0	0%	2	#	

On school reports, 2000–2001 and 2001–2002 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2000–2001, 2001–2002, and 2002–2003 school years.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
Nov 2002	General-Education Students	47	13%	15%	68%	4%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	47	13%	15%	68%	4%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2003	General-Education Students	45	0%	22%	60%	18%
	Students with Disabilities	6	17%	33%	50%	0%
	All Students	51	2%	24%	59%	16%

(Form - J)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2002–2003

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	27	27	27	5	5	5	32	32	32	
Number Scoring 55–64	1	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–84	15	14	15	2	5	2	17	19	17	
Number Scoring 85–100	6	11	9	0	0	2	6	11	11	
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

(Form - K)