### The University of the State of New York The State Education Department



# OVERVIEW OF SCHOOL PERFORMANCE IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS, MATHEMATICS, AND SCIENCE AND

#### ANALYSIS OF STUDENT SUBGROUP PERFORMANCE

for

Niagara Middle School

in

Niagara Falls City School District

February 2004

#### THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

#### **Regents of The University**

ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, <i>Vice Chancellor</i> , B.A., M.A., P.D. Hollis  DIANE O'NEILL McGivern, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D. Staten Island	
DIANE O'NEILL MCCIVERN RS N M A Ph D	
DIAME O IVEILE MOGIVEIM, D.S.IV., M.A., I II.D	le
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D	
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D. Peru	
ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D. Huntington	
ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D	use
MERRYL H. TISCH, B.A., M.A	
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D. Belle Harbo	r
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B	
HARRY PHILLIPS, 3rd, B.A., M.S.F.S. Hartsdale	
JOSEPH E. BOWMAN, Jr., B.A., M.L.S., M.A., M.Ed., Ed.D Albany	
LORRAINE A. CORTÉS-VÁZQUEZ, B.A., M.P.A. Bronx	
JUDITH O. RUBIN, A.B. New York	
JAMES R. TALLON, JR., B.A., M.A. Binghamton	l
MILTON L. COFIELD, B.S., M.B.A., Ph.D. Rochester	

#### President of The University and Commissioner of Education

RICHARD P. MILLS

#### Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education

JAMES A. KADAMUS

#### **Coordinator, School Operations and Management Services**

CHARLES SZUBERLA

#### **Coordinator, Information and Reporting Services**

MARTHA P. MUSSER

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including braille, large print or audio tape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 530, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234. Requests for additional copies of this publication may be made by contacting the Publications Sales Desk, Room 309, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234.

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

School Report Card Coordinator Information and Reporting Services Team New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA 89 Washington Avenue Albany, NY 12234

E-mail: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

40-08-00-01-0033 March 19, 2004 2 The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on student performance and other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

The New York State School Report Card consists of three parts: the Overview of School Performance in English Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science and Analysis of Student Subgroup Performance, the Comprehensive Information Report, and the School Accountability Report. The Overview and Analysis presents performance data on measures required by the federal No Child Left Behind Act: English, mathematics, science, and graduation rate. Performance data on other State assessments can be found in the Comprehensive Information Report. The School Accountability Report provides information as to whether a school is making adequate progress toward enabling all students to achieve proficiency in English and mathematics.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the foundation knowledge they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

In the *Overview*, performance on the elementary- and middle-level assessments in English language arts and mathematics and on the middle-level science test is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of students scoring at each of the four levels. These levels indicate performance on the standards from seriously deficient to advanced proficiency. Performance on the elementary-level science test is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of students making appropriate progress. Regents examination scores are reported in four score ranges. Scores of 65 to 100 are passing; scores of 55 to 64 earn credit toward a local diploma (with the approval of the local board of education). Though each elementary- and middle-level assessment is administered to students in a specific grade, secondary-level assessments are taken by students when they complete the coursework for the core curriculum. Therefore, the performance of students at the secondary level is measured for a student cohort rather than a group of students at a particular grade level. Students are grouped in cohorts according to the year in which they first entered grade 9.

The assessment data in the *Overview and Analysis* are for all tested students in the school, including general-education students and students with disabilities. In the *Overview*, each school's performance is compared with that of schools similar in grade level, district resources, and student needs as indicated by income and limited English proficiency (LEP) status. Each district's performance is compared with that of all public schools statewide. In the *Analysis*, performance is disaggregated by race/ethnicity, disability status, gender, LEP status, income level, and migrant status.

Explanations of terms referred to or symbols used in this part of the school report card may be found in the glossary on the last page. Further information on the school report card may be found in the guide, *Understanding Your School Report Card: February 2004*, available on the Information and Reporting Services Web site at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

## Overview of School Performance in English Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science

#### School Profile

Principal: Judith Warren		Phone: (716)278-9120
Organization	Grade Range	Student Enrollment
2002–03	6-8	590

2001-02 School District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil	\$12,793
--	----------

Similar
<b>Schools</b>
Group

This school is in Similar Schools Group 26. All schools in this group are middle level schools in urban or suburban school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

#### 2002-03 Percentage of Core Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers\*

Number of Core Classes	Percent Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
115	96%


<sup>\*</sup>For the 2002-03 school year, SED is reporting that teachers of core classes are highly qualified if they are certified to teach those classes. However, No Child Left Behind (NCLB) imposes requirements beyond certification for some teachers to be considered highly qualified. In future years, when New York State uses the NCLB criteria for reporting, certified teachers must fulfill all NCLB requirements to be counted as highly qualified.

#### 2002-03 Percentage of Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate\*

Number of Teachers	Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate
40	3%

<sup>\*</sup>This count includes teachers with temporary licenses who do not have a valid permanent, provisional, or transitional teaching certificate.

#### English Language Arts



	Counts of Students Tested					
Performance at This School	Level 1 527–661	Level 2 662–700	Level 3 701–738	Level 4 739–830	Total	Mean Score
May 2001	17	65	39	16	137	697
	Level 1 527–659	Level 2 660–698	Level 3 699-737	Level 3 738-830	Total	
March 2002	8	102	58	12	180	696
	Level 1 527–657	Level 2 658–696	Level 3 697–736	Level 4 737–830	Total	
January 2003	5	68	68	9	150	698

Middle-L	Middle-Level English Language Arts Levels — Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards				
Level 4	Level 4 These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.				
Level 3	Level 3 These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.				
Level 2 These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.					
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies.				


Performance of Limited English Proficient Students Taking the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) as the Measure of English Language Arts Achievement

Grade 8	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
2003	0	0	0	0	0

Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in English

Grade 8	Number Tested	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4
2002-03	0	0	0	0	0

#### Mathematics




		Counts of Students Tested				
Performance at This School	Level 1 517–680	Level 2 681–715	Level 3 716–759	Level 4 760–882	Total	Mean Score
May 2001	34	53	52	3	142	703
May 2002	31	61	82	7	181	712
May 2003	5	56	86	8	155	722

Middle-L	Middle-Level Mathematics Levels — Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards				
Level 4	Level 4 These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.				
Level 3	vel 3 These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.				
Level 2	Level 2 These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.				
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies.				

## Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Mathematics

Middle Level	Number Tested	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4
2002–03	0	0	0	0	0

Science



Porform	ance at This School		Maan Saara				
i enom	ance at This School	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total	Mean Score
June 2002	Middle-Level Science	4	6	68	98	176	83
June 2002	Regents Science	0	0	18	23	41	84
January/	Middle-Level Science	0	2	78	82	162	84
June 2003	Regents Science	0	0	0	0	0	0

Middle-L	Middle-Level Science Levels — Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards*									
Level 4	These students <b>exceed the standards</b> on the middle-level science test and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examinations <u>or</u> score 85–100 on a Regents science examination.									
Level 3	These students <b>meet the standards</b> on the middle-level science test and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examinations <u>or</u> score 65–84 on a Regents science examination.									
Level 2	These students <b>need extra help</b> to meet the standards for middle-level science and to pass the Regents examinations <u>or</u> score 55–64 on a Regents science examination.									
Level 1	These students have <b>serious academic deficiencies</b> as evidenced in the middle-level science test <u>or</u> score 0–54 on a Regents science examination.									

<sup>\*</sup>Students may demonstrate proficiency in middle-level science by scoring at Level 3 or above on the middle-level science test or by scoring 65 or above on a Regents examination in science.

## Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Science

Middle-Level	Number Tested	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4
2002–03	0	0	0	0	0

#### **Analysis of Student Subgroup Performance**

Historically, on State assessments the average performance of Black, Hispanic, and Native American students has been lower than that of White and Asian students. Similarly, students from low-income families have not performed as well as those from higher income families. A high priority of the Board of Regents is to eliminate these gaps in student performance. In addition, Title I of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act includes explicit requirements "to ensure that students served by Title I are given the same opportunity to achieve to high standards and are held to the same high expectations as all students in each State."

This section of the school report card provides performance data for two years by racial/ethnic group, disability status, gender, English proficiency status, income level, and migrant status. The purpose of the student subgroup analyses is to determine if students who perform below the standards in any school tend to fall into particular groups, such as minority students, limited English proficient students, or economically disadvantaged students. If these analyses provide evidence that students in one of the groups achieve at a lower level than other students, the school and community should examine the reasons for this lower performance and make necessary changes in curriculum, instruction, and student support services to remedy these performance gaps. If your school did not report data for the 2002-03 school year for a subject and grade, a table showing data for subgroups in that subject and grade will not be included in the *Analysis*.

English Language Arts

			11-02	<u> </u>		200	2–03	
Student Subgroup	Tested	Perce Student	ntages of 3 s Scoring a	Tested at Levels	Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels		
		2–4	3–4	4		2–4	3–4	4
Results by Race/Ethnicity								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	12	S	S	S	2	S	S	S
Black	47	91%	11%	0%	51	S	s	s
Hispanic	1	s	s	s	2	S	s	s
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
White	120	98%	51%	9%	95	97%	67%	9%
Total	180	96%	39%	7%	150	97%	51%	6%
Small Group Totals (s)	13	92%	31%	8%	55	96%	24%	0%
Results by Disability Status								
General-education students	140	98%	47%	8%	116	99%	63%	8%
Students with disabilities	40	88%	10%	3%	34	88%	12%	0%
Total	180	96%	39%	7%	150	97%	51%	6%
Results by Gender								
Female	91	95%	44%	8%	72	99%	61%	10%
Male	89	97%	34%	6%	78	95%	42%	3%
Total	180	96%	39%	7%	150	97%	51%	6%
<b>Results by English Proficiency</b>	Status							
English proficient	180	96%	39%	7%	150	97%	51%	6%
Limited English proficient	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Total	180	96%	39%	7%	150	97%	51%	6%
Results by Income Level								
Economically disadvantaged	93	92%	22%	3%	88	94%	36%	0%
Not disadvantaged	87	99%	57%	10%	62	100%	73%	15%
Total	180	96%	39%	7%	150	97%	51%	6%
Results by Migrant Status								
Migrant family	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Not migrant family	180	96%	39%	7%	150	97%	51%	6%
Total	180	96%	39%	7%	150	97%	51%	6%

#### Mathematics

		200	1–02			2002	2–03	
Student Subgroup	Tested		ntages of 1 s Scoring a		Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels		
		2–4	3–4	4		2–4	3–4	4
Results by Race/Ethnicity								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	12	S	S	s	2	S	S	S
Black	45	69%	22%	0%	54	S	s	s
Hispanic	1	S	S	s	2	S	s	S
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
White	123	89%	62%	6%	97	98%	73%	7%
Total	181	83%	49%	4%	155	97%	61%	5%
Small Group Totals (s)	13	69%	23%	0%	58	95%	40%	2%
Results by Disability Status								
General-education students	141	84%	52%	5%	118	100%	69%	7%
Students with disabilities	40	78%	38%	0%	37	86%	32%	0%
Total	181	83%	49%	4%	155	97%	61%	5%
Results by Gender								
Female	91	82%	48%	2%	73	97%	70%	5%
Male	90	83%	50%	6%	82	96%	52%	5%
Total	181	83%	49%	4%	155	97%	61%	5%
Results by English Proficiency	Status							
English proficient	181	83%	49%	4%	155	97%	61%	5%
Limited English proficient	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Total	181	83%	49%	4%	155	97%	61%	5%
Results by Income Level								
Economically disadvantaged	93	77%	37%	2%	89	94%	47%	1%
Not disadvantaged	88	89%	63%	6%	66	100%	79%	11%
Total	181	83%	49%	4%	155	97%	61%	5%
Results by Migrant Status								
Migrant family	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Not migrant family	181	83%	49%	4%	155	97%	61%	5%
Total	181	83%	49%	4%	155	97%	61%	5%

#### Science

			1–02			200	2–03	
Student Subgroup	Tested		ntages of s	Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels			
		2-4	3–4	4		2-4	3–4	4
Results by Race/Ethnicity								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	11	S	S	S	5	S	S	S
Black	47	98%	94%	38%	50	100%	98%	24%
Hispanic	1	S	S	S	2	S	S	S
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0%	0%	0%	2	S	S	S
White	117	98%	96%	67%	103	100%	100%	64%
Total	176	98%	94%	56%	162	100%	99%	51%
Small Group Totals (s)	12	92%	83%	17%	9	100%	89%	44%
Results by Disability Status								
General-education students	134	99%	98%	68%	128	100%	100%	61%
Students with disabilities	42	93%	83%	17%	34	100%	94%	12%
Total	176	98%	94%	56%	162	100%	99%	51%
Results by Gender								
Female	84	98%	94%	54%	79	100%	97%	54%
Male	92	98%	95%	58%	83	100%	100%	47%
Total	176	98%	94%	56%	162	100%	99%	51%
<b>Results by English Proficiency State</b>	us							
English proficient	176	98%	94%	56%	162	100%	99%	51%
Limited English proficient	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Total	176	98%	94%	56%	162	100%	99%	51%
Results by Income Level								
Economically disadvantaged	94	97%	91%	43%	88	100%	98%	34%
Not disadvantaged	82	99%	98%	71%	74	100%	100%	70%
Total	176	98%	94%	56%	162	100%	99%	51%
Results by Migrant Status								
Migrant family	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Not migrant family	176	98%	94%	56%	162	100%	99%	51%
Total	176	98%	94%	56%	162	100%	99%	51%

#### 1998 and 1999 High School Cohorts

General-education students who first entered ninth grade in 1998 or 1999 must score 55 or higher on Regents English and mathematics examinations to graduate. During the phase-in of the Regents examination graduation requirements, all students (with district board of education approval) may qualify for a local diploma by earning a score of 55–64 on the required Regents examinations; a score of 65 or higher is required for a Regents diploma. Students with disabilities and certain students with a Section 504 Accomodation Plan may qualify for a local diploma by passing Regents competency tests. The data in these tables show the performance of the cohorts as of June 30<sup>th</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

Performance on the English Assessment Requirement for Graduation after Four Years of High School

			98 Col		g c		19	999 Coh	ort	
				udents	Percent		Count of Students			Percent
Student Subareun	Ctudonto		by Score   Meeting   Students   by Score   Regents   Page   Regents   Page   Regents   Page   Regents   Page   Regents   Page   Regents   Page   Regents   Regents		Regents		Meeting			
Student Subgroup	Students in Cohort	Reg	ents	Pass-	Gradu- ation	in	Reg	ents	Pass-	Gradua- tion
	III Colloit	55– 64	65– 100	ed RCTs	Require- ment	Cohort	55– 64	65– 100	ed RCTs	Require- ment
Results by Race/Ethnicity				I					I	
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Black	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Hispanic	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
White	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Small Group Totals (s)	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Results by Disability Status										
General-education students	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Students with disabilities	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Results by Gender										
Female	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Male	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Results by English Proficiency	/ Status									
English proficient	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Limited English proficient	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Results by Income Level										
Economically disadvantaged	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Not disadvantaged	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Results by Migrant Status							_	_		
Migrant family	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Not migrant family	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%

March 19, 2004 12

## Performance on the Mathematics Assessment Requirement for Graduation after Four Years of High School

		19	98 Col		TCUI 3 OI	1999 Cohort				
		Cour	t of St	udents	Percent		Count of Students			Percent
	Students	by Score		Meeting	Students	by Score			Meeting	
Student Subgroup	in	Reg	ents	Pass-	Gradu-	in	Reg	ents	Pass-	Gradua-
	Cohort	55-	65-	ed	ation Require-	Cohort	55-	65-	ed	tion Require-
		64	100	RCTs	ment		64	100	RCTs	ment
Results by Race/Ethnicity			1						I	
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Black	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Hispanic	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
White	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Small Group Totals (s)	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Results by Disability Status										
General-education students	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Students with disabilities	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Results by Gender										
Female	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Male	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Results by English Proficiency	Status									
English proficient	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Limited English proficient	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Results by Income Level										
Economically disadvantaged	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Not disadvantaged	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Results by Migrant Status										
Migrant family	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Not migrant family	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%

#### **Cohort Graduation Rates**

Students were counted as graduates in the first two columns of this table if they earned a local diploma with or without a Regents endorsement by June 30<sup>th</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and in the second two columns if they earned a local diploma with or without a Regents endorsement by August 31<sup>st</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9. The graduation-rate cohort includes students who transferred to general education development (GED) programs. These students were not counted in the 1998 school accountability cohort for English and mathematics.

		nort as of	1998 Coh	
Student Subgroup	June 3 Graduation	0, 2002 Graduation	August : Graduation	31, 2002 Graduation
Student Subgroup	Rate Cohort	Rate	Rate Cohort	Rate
Results by Race/Ethnicity				
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%
Black	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%
White	0	0%	0	0%
Total	0	0%	0	0%
Small Group Totals (s)	0	0%	0	0%
Results by Disability Status				
General-education students	0	0%	0	0%
Students with disabilities	0	0%	0	0%
Total	0	0%	0	0%
Results by Gender				
Female	0		0	0%
Male	0	0%	0	0%
Total	0	0%	0	0%
Results by English Proficiency S	tatus			
English proficient	0	0%	0	0%
Limited English proficient	0	0%	0	0%
Total	0	0%	0	0%
Results by Income Level				
Economically disadvantaged	0	0%	0	0%
Not disadvantaged	0	0%	0	0%
Total	0	0%	0	0%
Results by Migrant Status				
Migrant family	0	0%	0	0%
Not migrant family	0	0%	0	0%
Total	0	0%	0	0%

#### Glossary

Accountability Cohort: An accountability cohort is all students, regardless of grade status, who were enrolled in school on BEDS day two years after the year in which they entered grade 9, or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, the year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. (For example, the 1998 accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 in the fall of 1998 who were enrolled on October 4, 2000). Certain students with severe disabilities, new immigrants, and students who transfer to programs leading to a high school diploma or high school equivalency diploma are not included in the school accountability cohort. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (8) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

**Component Retests:** Component retests were offered in Regents English and Mathematics A to graduating seniors who were at risk of not meeting the State learning standards. Component retesting is the process by which a student who has failed a Regents examination in English or Mathematics A twice is retested only on the areas of the learning standards in which the student has been proven deficient. Component retesting eliminates the need for the student to retake the full Regents examination multiple times. Students who earn credit through component retesting are counted as if they scored in the 55–64 range or in the 65–84 range, as determined by the results of the component retest.

Counts of Students Tested: "Counts of Students Tested" includes only students who completed sufficient test questions to receive a score.

**Graduation-Rate Cohort:** Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a general education development (GED) program.

Limited English Proficient (LEP) Students: Schools provide special English instruction to students for whom English is a second language so they can participate effectively in the academic program. In 2002–03 and in previous years, students were considered LEP if, by reason of foreign birth or ancestry, they spoke a language other than English and (1) either understood and spoke little or no English or (2) scored at or below the 40<sup>th</sup> percentile on an English language assessment instrument. The United States Department of Education has approved the use of the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) as the required measure of language arts proficiency for LEP students in grades 4 and 8 who have attended school in the United States (not including Puerto Rico) for fewer than three consecutive years and for LEP students who have attended for four or five years and have received an exemption from the general assessment requirement.

**New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA):** The district Committee on Special Education designates students with severe disabilities who meet criteria established in Commissioner's Regulations to take the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA).

**Similar Schools:** Similar schools are schools that are grouped by common district and student demographic characteristics, including grade range of students served by the school, school district financial resources, and needs of the school student population. More information about similar school groups may be found on the Web at http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2002/similar.html.

**Student Confidentiality/Suppressed Data (# and s):** To ensure student confidentiality, the Department does <u>not</u> publish data for groups with fewer than five students or data that would allow readers to easily determine the performance of a group with fewer than five students. In the *Overview*, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. In the *Analysis*, when fewer than five students in a group (e.g., Hispanic) were tested, percentages of tested students scoring at various levels are suppressed for that group and the next smallest group. Suppressed data are indicated with an **(s)**. However, the performance of tested students in these groups is aggregated and shown in the Small Group Total row.

**Validity and Reliability of Small Group Data:** It is important that programmatic decisions are based on valid and reliable data. Data for fewer than 30 students in a group may be neither valid nor reliable. If a school does not have 30 students in a grade or a subgroup in a given year, the school should evaluate results for students in this group over a period of years to make programmatic decisions.