

The University of the State of New York
The State Education Department



**SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT:
ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS, MATHEMATICS, SCIENCE,
AND GRADUATION RATE**

for

AMENIA ES

in

NORTHEAST CSD

2003-04 School Accountability Status:

School in Good Standing

Title I Funding

This school received Title I funding in:

2001-02: No

2002-03: Yes

2003-04: Yes

District/School Accountability Status Categories

The list below defines the district or school status categories under New York State's district and school accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. A district or school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year. Schools receiving Title I funds that are not in good standing must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for districts and schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/deputy/nclb/accountability/siinfo.htm. To be removed from any improvement status, a district or school must make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for two consecutive years, or in the case of a School Under Registration Review, achieve the performance targets established for the school by the Commissioner.

District/School in Good Standing: A district or school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District or School in Need of Improvement, Requiring Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, or Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.

District/School Requiring Academic Progress: Under the State component of New York's accountability system, a district or school that did not make AYP in the same grade and subject for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) the following year. In each succeeding year that the school fails to make AYP, the year designation is incremented by one.

District/School in Need of Improvement (Year 1): A district or school that has not made AYP for two consecutive years in the same grade or subject while receiving Title I funds is considered a District/School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) the following year.

District/School in Need of Improvement (Year 2): A District or School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP in the grade or subject for which it was identified while receiving Title I funds is considered a District or School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) the following year.

District/School Requiring Corrective Action: A District or School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP in the grade or subject for which it was identified while receiving Title I funds is considered a District or School Requiring Corrective Action the following year.

District/School Planning for Restructuring: A District or School Requiring Corrective Action that does not make AYP in the grade or subject for which it was identified while receiving Title I funds is considered a District or School Planning for Restructuring the following year.

School Under Registration Review (SURR): Schools that are farthest from the State standard and have been determined by the Commissioner to be most in need of improvement are Schools Under Registration Review. These schools must achieve performance targets specified by the Commissioner of Education in their area(s) of identification within a prescribed timeframe or risk having their registration revoked by the Board of Regents.

Elementary-Level English Language Arts
Elementary-Level Mathematics
Elementary-Level Science

In 2002-03, this school served only students below grade 4 and did not administer State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Accountability decisions for this school were based on the combination of performance of district schools with grade 4 for English language arts and mathematics and backmapping data for science. Backmapping is a method by which the grade 4 test scores of a student are attributed to the feeder school in which the student was enrolled in earlier grades.

All schools with grade 4 in this district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) in English language arts and mathematics. Therefore, we have determined that this school made adequate yearly progress in English language arts and mathematics. All schools in the district did not make AYP in science. Based on backmapping data, this school did not make adequate yearly progress in science in 2002-03.

State accountability status in:

Elementary-level English Language Arts:	Good Standing
Elementary-level mathematics:	Good Standing
Elementary-level science:	Good Standing

Title I accountability status in:

Elementary-level English Language Arts:	Good Standing
Elementary-level mathematics:	Good Standing
Elementary-level science:	Good Standing

Glossary

Accountability Cohort: **Accountability Cohort:** The 1999 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 in the fall of 1999, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 1999–2000 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2001. Certain students with severe disabilities, new immigrants, and students who transfer to programs leading to a high school diploma or high school equivalency diploma were not included in the 1999 school accountability cohort. The 1999 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day *plus* students who were placed outside the district by the CSE or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (8) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP): Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district/school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO): The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO will be increased in regular increments beginning in 2004–05 until it reaches 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students: Students enrolled in the school on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year and until the day of testing.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO): The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the PI value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Cohort: Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a general education development (GED) program.

Graduation-Rate Standard: The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

Performance Index (PI): A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four achievement levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). ***At the elementary and middle levels, the PI is calculated using the following equation: (Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) + Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students. At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation: (Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) + Count of All Cohort Members.*** A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target: For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternative method for making AYP or qualifying for safe harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor: Safe Harbor provides an alternative means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective AMOs in English or mathematics.

Science Standard: The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2002–03, the elementary-level Science Standard was 40 percent of tested students scoring at or above the State Designated Level. In 2003–04 and future years, with the introduction of the new science test, the elementary-level science standard is a PI of 100. In 2002–03 and future years, the middle-level Science Standard is a PI of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.